

## UCI EEE Evaluations

---

### Social Ecology Instructor Evaluation for Garfin, Dana Rose SOCECOL 190 LEC A (50180), Spring Qtr 2016

Responses: 20/26 (76.92%)

1. Class:

- 0** Freshman
- 1** Sophomore
- 3** Junior
- 10** Senior
- 5** Graduate

2. Major:

- 1** Social Ecology
- 19** Psychology and Social Behavior
- 0** Criminology, Law and Society
- 0** Urban Studies
- 0** Public Policy (MPP)
- 0** Urban and Regional Planning (MURP)
- 0** Other

3. Reason for taking this course:

- 12** Interest in subject
- 0** Required for major
- 0** Required for minor
- 6** Related to my field of study
- 1** Breadth requirement

4. Instructor clearly outlined objectives/grading criteria at beginning.

- 0** 1 (Strongly disagree) Value: 1
- 0** 2 Value: 2
- 0** 3 Value: 3
- 0** 4 (Agree) Value: 4
- 1** 5 Value: 5
- 6** 6 Value: 6
- 13** 7 (Strongly agree) Value: 7
- 6.60** Mean
- 7.00** Median
- 0.58** Std Dev

5. Instructor exhibited enthusiasm/interest in subject.

- 0** 1 (Strongly disagree) Value: 1
- 0** 2 Value: 2
- 0** 3 Value: 3
- 0** 4 (Agree) Value: 4
- 1** 5 Value: 5
- 1** 6 Value: 6
- 18** 7 (Strongly agree) Value: 7
- 6.85** Mean
- 7.00** Median
- 0.48** Std Dev

6. Instructor communicated concepts/theories clearly.

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Ecology Instructor Evaluation for Garfin, Dana Rose SOCECOL 190 LEC A (50180), Spring Qtr 2016

---

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>1</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>1</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>4</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>7</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>7</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>5.90</b>	Mean	
<b>6.00</b>	Median	
<b>1.09</b>	Std Dev	

7. Instructor was available for help outside class.

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>1</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>0</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>0</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>2</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>17</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.70</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.90</b>	Std Dev	

8. Instructor encouraged participation in class discussions.

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>0</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>2</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>3</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>14</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.63</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.67</b>	Std Dev	

9. Instructor has sufficient evidence to evaluate achievements (give a grade).

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>0</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>2</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>4</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>13</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.58</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.67</b>	Std Dev	

10. Instructor gave constructive feedback about my work.

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Ecology Instructor Evaluation for Garfin, Dana Rose SOCECOL 190 LEC A (50180), Spring Qtr 2016

---

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>1</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>3</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>2</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>12</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.39</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.95</b>	Std Dev	

### 11. Overall teaching effectiveness.

<b>0</b>	1 (Poor)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>2</b>	4 (Good)	Value: 4
<b>2</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>6</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>10</b>	7 (Excellent)	Value: 7
<b>6.20</b>	Mean	
<b>6.50</b>	Median	
<b>0.98</b>	Std Dev	

### 12. Stated course objectives correspond with actual outcome.

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>0</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>3</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>5</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>12</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.45</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.74</b>	Std Dev	

### 13. Classes were well prepared/organized/integrated into course.

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>2</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>2</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>4</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>12</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.30</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>1.00</b>	Std Dev	

### 14. Texts/papers/outside work complemented class.

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Ecology Instructor Evaluation for Garfin, Dana Rose SOCECOL 190 LEC A (50180), Spring Qtr 2016

---

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>1</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>2</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>0</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>17</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.65</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.85</b>	Std Dev	

15. Labs and discussion sessions were useful and effective.

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>0</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>1</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>0</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>18</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.89</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.45</b>	Std Dev	

16. Emphasis on course was on understanding concepts vs. memorization.

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>1</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>2</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>4</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>13</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.45</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.86</b>	Std Dev	

17. Course stimulated thinking and interest in subject.

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>1</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>2</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>4</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>13</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.45</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.86</b>	Std Dev	

18. Course was extremely enjoyable.

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Ecology Instructor Evaluation for Garfin, Dana Rose SOCECOL 190 LEC A (50180), Spring Qtr 2016

---

<b>0</b>	1 (Strongly disagree)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>3</b>	4 (Agree)	Value: 4
<b>1</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>7</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>8</b>	7 (Strongly agree)	Value: 7
<b>6.05</b>	Mean	
<b>6.00</b>	Median	
<b>1.05</b>	Std Dev	

19. I rate the course workload as:

<b>0</b>	1 (Light)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>16</b>	4 (Appropriate)	Value: 4
<b>1</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>2</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>1</b>	7 (Excessive)	Value: 7
<b>4.40</b>	Mean	
<b>4.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.86</b>	Std Dev	

20. Overall value of this course is

<b>0</b>	1 (Poor)	Value: 1
<b>0</b>	2	Value: 2
<b>0</b>	3	Value: 3
<b>2</b>	4 (Good)	Value: 4
<b>1</b>	5	Value: 5
<b>3</b>	6	Value: 6
<b>14</b>	7 (Excellent)	Value: 7
<b>6.45</b>	Mean	
<b>7.00</b>	Median	
<b>0.97</b>	Std Dev	

21. Comments on instructor and/or course:

- Amazing instructor! Keep up the good work!
- Dr. Garfin is a great professor. She really wants her students to grasp the course material. She provided handouts that would help her students understand the subject, and would allow time for any questions. Very approachable and caring for her students.
- Dr. Garfin is a great professor. She truly wants her students to succeed and understand all the concepts that she teaches. I really enjoy taking her courses. Although I did not utilize her office hours as much as I should have, she was always helpful and kind.
- Dr. Garfin is an amazing professor. She is very kind and shows that she cares about her students. Whenever there is a concept we are struggling with, she is willing to spend additional time on it during class/office hours. She provided us with helpful worksheets & resources. I am glad I took this course. I learned a lot of valuable information.
- Dr. Garfin is extremely enthusiastic about statistics. She encourages her students to participate and discuss material in class. She is always available outside class for students to come in and have their questions answered.
- Dr. Garfin is extremely passionate about her students and statistics – she wants us to succeed and is never trying to trick us, despite the fact that the material is quite tricky. Sometimes, lectures felt a bit more like definition reading rather than concept explaining, but this got better as the quarter went on. Overall I enjoyed the course.

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Ecology Instructor Evaluation for Garfin, Dana Rose SOCECOL 190 LEC A (50180), Spring Qtr 2016

---

- Dr. Garfin really cares about her quality of teaching and her students. She's always approachable and ready to help her students. She goes above and beyond!
- I learned so much about statistics through this class and I feel much more prepared to conduct my own statistical analysis in my projects. The labs were very helpful in helping the students apply what they learn during lectures.
- I really enjoyed this course. I feel like it has been one of the most informative courses I have taken on this campus and it has taught me a tremendous amount. Professor Garfin was very good at integrating comments and feedback from midterm evaluations into her teaching style and was very effective at communicating the course material to the class in the later half of the quarter. My only complaint is that there were still some inconsistencies with course material/expectations here and there, and she needs to be a little more careful about making small mistakes that could cause confusion, but overall very inspiring class that makes me feel literate in statistical analysis and very prepared for graduate studies.
- It is easy to see that Dr. Garfin genuinely cares about her students and wants them to succeed. From the outset, she made it clear that the purpose of the class was to make sure that we comprehend statistics and not to try to find ways to trick us. In that respect, I believe she has accomplished her goal. She went above and beyond in making herself available to students, took the time to ensure that we understood the material, and on top of all that, she is an excellent baker.
- Lot on material during lecture buy Dr. Garfin trys to make it as clear as possible
- Overall, it was a good experience. I learned a lot from this course and Professor Garfin took her time to explain everything clearly and made sure everyone understood what was going on before moving on to the next topic. She was very detailed in her explanations and adjusted to the students' needs. I would recommend this class to every student who is interested in research.
- She made sure everyone understood the concepts clearly and didn't mind going over a topic which was very help full.
- Use powerpoints next time!
- Wonderful instructor who really cares about her students.
- 5 blank answer(s).